TODAY’S AGENDA

Background
Optimization Basics
Search Strategies
Adaptive Query Processing
QUERY OPTIMIZATION

For a given query, find an execution plan for it that has the lowest “cost”.

The part of a DBMS that is the hardest to implement well (proven to be NP-Complete).

No optimizer truly produces the “optimal” plan
→ Use estimation techniques to guess real plan cost.
→ Use heuristics to limit the search space.
CLASSIC ARCHITECTURE

SQL Query

Parser → Planner → Optimizer

Abstract Syntax Tree → Logical Plan

Cost Estimates → Physical Plan
LOGICAL VS. PHYSICAL PLANS

The optimizer generates a mapping of a logical algebra expression to the optimal equivalent physical algebra expression.

Physical operators define a specific execution strategy using a particular access path.
→ They can depend on the physical format of the data that they process (i.e., sorting, compression).
→ Not always a 1:1 mapping from logical to physical.
RELATIONAL ALGEBRA EQUIVALENCES

Two relational algebra expressions are said to be **equivalent** if on every legal database instance the two expressions generate the same set of tuples.

Example: \((A \bowtie (B \bowtie C)) = (B \bowtie (A \bowtie C))\)
OBSERVATION

Query planning for OLTP queries is easy because they are **sargable**.

→ It is usually just picking the best index.
→ Joins are almost always on foreign key relationships with a small cardinality.
→ Can be implemented with simple heuristics.

We will focus on OLAP queries in this lecture.
Observation

Query planning for OLTP queries is easy because they are **sargable**.
→ It is usually just picking the best index.
→ Joins are almost always on foreign key relationships with a small cardinality.
→ Can be implemented with simple heuristics.

We will focus on OLAP queries in this lecture.
COST ESTIMATION

Generate an estimate of the cost of executing a plan for the current state of the database.
→ Interactions with other work in DBMS
→ Size of intermediate results
→ Choices of algorithms, access methods
→ Resource utilization (CPU, I/O, network)
→ Data properties (skew, order, placement)

We will discuss this more on Wednesday...
DESIGN CHOICES

Optimization Granularity
Optimization Timing
Plan Stability
Choice #1: Single Query
→ Much smaller search space.
→ DBMS cannot reuse results across queries.
→ In order to account for resource contention, the cost model must account for what is currently running.

Choice #2: Multiple Queries
→ More efficient if there are many similar queries.
→ Search space is much larger.
→ Useful for scan sharing.
**OPTIMIZATION TIMING**

**Choice #1: Static Optimization**
- Select the best plan prior to execution.
- Plan quality is dependent on cost model accuracy.
- Can amortize over executions with prepared stmts.

**Choice #2: Dynamic Optimization**
- Select operator plans on-the-fly as queries execute.
- Will have reoptimize for multiple executions.
- Difficult to implement/debug (non-deterministic)

**Choice #3: Hybrid Optimization**
- Compile using a static algorithm.
- If the error in estimate > threshold, reoptimize
PLAN STABILITY

Choice #1: Hints
→ Allow the DBA to provide hints to the optimizer.

Choice #2: Fixed Optimizer Versions
→ Set the optimizer version number and migrate queries one-by-one to the new optimizer.

Choice #3: Backwards-Compatible Plans
→ Save query plan from old version and provide it to the new DBMS.
OPTIMIZATION SEARCH STRATEGIES

Heuristics
Heuristics + Cost-based Join Order Search
Randomized Algorithms
Stratified Search
Unified Search
HEURISTIC-BASED OPTIMIZATION

Define static rules that transform logical operators to a physical plan.
→ Perform most restrictive selection early
→ Perform all selections before joins
→ Predicate/Limit/Projection pushdowns
→ Join ordering based on cardinality

Example: Original versions of INGRES and Oracle (until mid 1990s)
CREATE TABLE ARTIST (   ID INT PRIMARY KEY,   NAME VARCHAR(32) );

CREATE TABLE ALBUM (   ID INT PRIMARY KEY,   NAME VARCHAR(32) UNIQUE );

CREATE TABLE APPEARS (   ARTIST_ID INT   REFERENCES ARTIST(ID),   ALBUM_ID INT   REFERENCES ALBUM(ID),   PRIMARY KEY   (ARTIST_ID, ALBUM_ID) );
Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape

```
SELECT ARTIST.NAME
FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM
WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID
    AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID
    AND ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
```
Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape

```
SELECT ARTIST.NAME
FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM
WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID
    AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID
    AND ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
```

**Step #1: Decompose into single-variable queries**
Step #1: Decompose into single-variable queries

Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape

Q1

```
SELECT ALBUM.ID AS ALBUM_ID INTO TEMP1
FROM ALBUM
WHERE ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
```

Q2

```
SELECT ARTIST.NAME
FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, TEMP1
WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID
AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=TEMP1.ALBUM_ID
AND ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
```
Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape

**Step #1: Decompose into single-variable queries**

Q1

```sql
SELECT ALBUM.ID AS ALBUM_ID INTO TEMP1
FROM ALBUM
WHERE ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
```

Q2

```sql
SELECT ARTIST.NAME
FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, TEMP1
WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID
AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=TEMP1.ALBUM_ID
AND ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
```
Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape

Q1
SELECT ALBUM.ID AS ALBUM_ID INTO TEMP1
FROM ALBUM
WHERE ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"

Q3
SELECT APPEARS.ARTIST_ID INTO TEMP2
FROM APPEARS, TEMP1
WHERE APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=TEMP1.ALBUM_ID

Q4
SELECT ARTIST.NAME
FROM ARTIST, TEMP2
WHERE ARTIST.ARTIST_ID=TEMP2.ARTIST_ID

Step #1: Decompose into single-variable queries
INGRES OPTIMIZER

Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape

**Q1**

```sql
SELECT ALBUM.ID AS ALBUM_ID INTO TEMP1
FROM ALBUM
WHERE ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
```

**Q3**

```sql
SELECT APPEARS.ARTIST_ID INTO TEMP2
FROM APPEARS, TEMP1
WHERE APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=TEMP1.ALBUM_ID
```

**Q4**

```sql
SELECT ARTIST.NAME
FROM ARTIST, TEMP2
WHERE ARTIST.ARTIST_ID=TEMP2.ARTIST_ID
```

---

**Step #1: Decompose into single-variable queries**

**Step #2: Substitute the values from Q1→Q3→Q4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SELECT ARTIST.NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AND ALBUM.NAME=&quot;Joy's Slag Remix&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape

Step #1: Decompose into single-variable queries

Step #2: Substitute the values from Q1→Q3→Q4
Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape

Step #1: Decompose into single-variable queries

Step #2: Substitute the values from Q1→Q3→Q4
Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape

**SELECT** ARTIST.NAME
**FROM** ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM
**WHERE** ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID
AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID
AND ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"

**Step #1: Decompose into single-variable queries**

**Step #2: Substitute the values from Q1→Q3→Q4**

Q4

**SELECT** ARTIST.NAME
**FROM** ARTIST, TEMP2
**WHERE** ARTIST.ARTIST_ID=TEMP2.ARTIST_ID
Step #1: Decompose into single-variable queries

Step #2: Substitute the values from Q1 → Q3 → Q4

Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape

```
SELECT ARTIST.NAME
FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM
WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID
  AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID
  AND ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
```
Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape

```sql
SELECT ARTIST.NAME
FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM
WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID
AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID
AND ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
```

**Step #1: Decompose into single-variable queries**

**Step #2: Substitute the values from Q1→Q3→Q4**
HEURISTIC-BASED OPTIMIZATION

Advantages:
→ Easy to implement and debug.
→ Works reasonably well and is fast for simple queries.

Disadvantages:
→ Relies on magic constants that predict the efficacy of a planning decision.
→ Nearly impossible to generate good plans when operators have complex inter-dependencies.
HEURISTICS + COST-BASED JOIN SEARCH

Use static rules to perform initial optimization. Then use dynamic programming to determine the best join order for tables.

→ First cost-based query optimizer
→ Bottom-up planning (forward chaining) using a divide-and-conquer search method

Example: System R, early IBM DB2, most open-source DBMSs

Selinger
SYSTEM R OPTIMIZER

Break query up into blocks and generate the logical operators for each block.
For each logical operator, generate a set of physical operators that implement it.
  → All combinations of join algorithms and access paths

Then iteratively construct a “left-deep” tree that minimizes the estimated amount of work to execute the plan.
Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape ordered by their artist id.

```
SELECT ARTIST.NAME
FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM
WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID
    AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID
    AND ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
ORDER BY ARTIST.ID
```
Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape ordered by their artist id.

```
SELECT ARTIST.NAME
FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM
WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID
    AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID
    AND ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
ORDER BY ARTIST.ID
```

Step #1: Choose the best access paths to each table
SYSTEM R OPTIMIZER

Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape ordered by their artist id.

```
SELECT ARTIST.NAME 
FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM 
WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID 
    AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID 
    AND ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
ORDER BY ARTIST.ID
```

Step #1: Choose the best access paths to each table

ARTIST: Sequential Scan
APPEARS: Sequential Scan
ALBUM: Index Look-up on NAME
Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape ordered by their artist id.

```
SELECT ARTIST.NAME
FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM
WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID
AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID
AND ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
ORDER BY ARTIST.ID
```

**Step #1:** Choose the best access paths to each table

**Step #2:** Enumerate all possible join orderings for tables
**SYSTEM R OPTIMIZER**

Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape ordered by their artist id.

```
SELECT ARTIST.NAME
FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM
WHERE ARTIST.ID = APPEARS.ARTIST_ID
AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID = ALBUM.ID
AND ALBUM.NAME = "Joy's Slag Remix"
ORDER BY ARTIST.ID
```

**Step #1: Choose the best access paths to each table**

- **ARTIST**: Sequential Scan
- **APPEARS**: Sequential Scan
- **ALBUM**: Index Look-up on NAME

**Step #2: Enumerate all possible join orderings for tables**

```
ARTIST  APPEARS  ALBUM
APPEARS  ALBUM  ARTIST
ALBUM  APPEARS  ARTIST
APPEARS  ARTIST  ALBUM
ARTIST  ALBUM  APPEARS
ALBUM  ARTIST  APPEARS
:::
:::
:::
```
Retrieve the names of people that appear on Joy's mixtape ordered by their artist id.

```sql
SELECT ARTIST.NAME
FROM ARTIST, APPEARS, ALBUM
WHERE ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID
AND APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID
AND ALBUM.NAME="Joy's Slag Remix"
ORDER BY ARTIST.ID
```

**Step #1: Choose the best access paths to each table**

**Step #2: Enumerate all possible join orderings for tables**

**Step #3: Determine the join ordering with the lowest cost**

**ARTIST:** Sequential Scan

**APPEARS:** Sequential Scan

**ALBUM:** Index Look-up on NAME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARTIST</th>
<th>APPEARS</th>
<th>ALBUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APPEARS</td>
<td>ALBUM</td>
<td>ARTIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALBUM</td>
<td>APPEARS</td>
<td>ARTIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPEARS</td>
<td>ARTIST</td>
<td>ALBUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTIST</td>
<td>ALBUM</td>
<td>APPEARS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALBUM</td>
<td>ARTIST</td>
<td>APPEARS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>:</td>
<td>:</td>
<td>:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SYSTEM R OPTIMIZER

ARTIST APPEARS ALBUM

ARTIST APPEARS ALBUM

ALBUM APPEARS ARTIST

• • •
SYSTEM R OPTIMIZER

Hash Join
ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID

SortMerge Join
ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID

SortMerge Join
ALBUM.ID=APPEARS.ALBUM_ID

Hash Join
ALBUM.ID=APPEARS.ALBUM_ID

ARTIST

APPEARS

ALBUM

ALBUM

APPEARS

ARIST

ARTIST

APPEARS

ALBUM
SYSTEM R OPTIMIZER

Hash Join
ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID

ARTIST
APPEARS
ALBUM

ALBUM
APPEARS
ARTIST

ARTIST
APPEARS
ALBUM
ARTIST \Join APPEARS \Join ALBUM

Hash Join
ARTIST.ID=APPEARS.ARTIST_ID

ALBUM \Join APPEARS \Join ARTIST

Hash Join
ALBUM.ID=APPEARS.ALBUM_ID

ARTIST \Join APPEARS \Join ALBUM

Hash Join
APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID

SortMerge Join
APPEARS.ALBUM_ID=ALBUM.ID

ARTIST \Join APPEARS \Join ALBUM

Hash Join
APPEARS.ARTIST_ID=ARTIST.ID

SortMerge Join
APPEARS.ARTIST_ID=ARTIST.ID

Hash Join
APPEARS.ARTIST_ID=ARTIST.ID
Hash Join
ARTIST.ID = APPEARS.ARTIST_ID

ARTIST
→
APPEARS
→
ALBUM

Hash Join
APPEARS.ALBUM_ID = ALBUM.ID

ALBUM
→
APPEARS
→
ARIST

Hash Join
ALBUM.ID = APPEARS.ALBUM_ID

ARTIST
→
APPEARS
→
ALBUM

SortMerge Join
APPEARS.ARTIST_ID = ARTIST.ID

Hash Join
APPEARS.ALBUM_ID = ALBUM.ID
ARTIST ⨝ APPEARS ⨝ ALBUM

Hash Join
ALBUM.ID = APPEARS.ALBUM_ID

SortMerge Join
APPEARS.ARTIST_ID = ARTIST.ID
HEURISTICS + COST-BASED JOIN SEARCH

**Advantages:**
→ Usually finds a reasonable plan without having to perform an exhaustive search.

**Disadvantages:**
→ All the same problems as the heuristic-only approach.
→ Left-deep join trees are not always optimal.
→ Have to take in consideration the physical properties of data in the cost model (e.g., sort order).
Perform a random walk over a solution space of all possible (valid) plans for a query.

Continue searching until a cost threshold is reached or the optimizer runs for a particular length of time.

Example: Postgres’ genetic algorithm.
Start with a query plan that is generated using the heuristic-only approach.

Compute random permutations of operators (e.g., swap the join order of two tables)
→ Always accept a change that reduces cost
→ Only accept a change that increases cost with some probability.
→ Reject any change that violates correctness (e.g., sort ordering)
More complicated queries use a genetic algorithm that selects join orderings.

At the beginning of each round, generate different variants of the query plan.

Select the plans that have the lowest cost and permute them with other plans. Repeat.

→ The mutator function only generates valid plans.

Source: Postgres Documentation
RANDOMIZED ALGORITHMS

Advantages:
→ Jumping around the search space randomly allows the optimizer to get out of local minimums.
→ Low memory overhead (if no history is kept).

Disadvantages:
→ Difficult to determine why the DBMS may have chose a particular plan.
→ Have to do extra work to ensure that query plans are deterministic.
→ Still have to implement correctness rules.
Observation

Writing query transformation rules in a procedural language is hard and error-prone.
→ No easy way to verify that the rules are correct without running a lot of fuzz tests.
→ Generation of physical operators per logical operator is decoupled from deeper semantics about query.

A better approach is to use a declarative DSL to write the transformation rules and then have the optimizer enforce them during planning.
OPTIMIZER GENERATORS

Use a rule engine that allows transformations to modify the query plan operators. The physical properties of data is embedded with the operators themselves.

Choice #1: Stratified Search
→ Planning is done in multiple stages

Choice #2: Unified Search
→ Perform query planning all at once.
STRATIFIED SEARCH

First rewrite the logical query plan using transformation rules.
→ The engine checks whether the transformation is allowed before it can be applied.
→ Cost is never considered in this step.

Then perform a cost-based search to map the logical plan to a physical plan.
Better implementation of the System R optimizer that uses declarative rules.

**Stage #1: Query Rewrite**
→ Compute a SQL-block-level, relational calculus-like representation of queries.

**Stage #2: Plan Optimization**
→ Execute a System R-style dynamic programming phase once query rewrite has completed.

**Example:** Latest version of IBM DB2
STARBURST OPTIMIZER

Advantages:
→ Works well in practice with fast performance.

Disadvantages:
→ Difficult to assign priorities to transformations
→ Some transformations are difficult to assess without computing multiple cost estimations.
→ Rules maintenance is a huge pain.
UNIFIED SEARCH

Unify the notion of both logical-logical and logical-physical transformations.
→ No need for separate stages because everything is transformations.

This approach generates a lot more transformations so it makes heavy use of memoization to reduce redundant work.
VOLCANO OPTIMIZER

General purpose cost-based query optimizer, based on equivalence rules on algebras.
→ Easily add new operations and equivalence rules.
→ Treats physical properties of data as first-class entities during planning.
→ Top-down approach (backward chaining) using branch-and-bound search.

Example: NonStop SQL
VOLCANO OPTIMIZER
Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.
VOLCANO OPTIMIZER

Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.

ARTIST \( \ni \) APPEARS \( \ni \) ALBUM
ORDER-BY(ARTIST.ID)
Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.

Invoke rules to create new nodes and traverse tree.

→ Logical-Logical:
  JOIN(A,B) to JOIN(B,A)

→ Logical-Physical:
  JOIN(A,B) to HASH_JOIN(A,B)
Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.

Invoke rules to create new nodes and traverse tree.

→ Logical-Logical:
  JOIN(A,B) to JOIN(B,A)

→ Logical-Physical:
  JOIN(A,B) to HASH_JOIN(A,B)
Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.

Invoke rules to create new nodes and traverse tree.

→ Logical-Logical: 
  JOIN(A,B) to JOIN(B,A)

→ Logical-Physical: 
  JOIN(A,B) to HASH_JOIN(A,B)
VOLCANO OPTIMIZER

Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.

Invoke rules to create new nodes and traverse tree.

→ Logical-Logical:
  JOIN(A,B) to JOIN(B,A)

→ Logical-Physical:
  JOIN(A,B) to HASH_JOIN(A,B)
Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.

Invoke rules to create new nodes and traverse tree.

→ Logical-Logical:
  JOIN(A,B) to JOIN(B,A)

→ Logical-Physical:
  JOIN(A,B) to HASH_JOIN(A,B)
Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.

Invoke rules to create new nodes and traverse tree.

→ Logical-Logical:
JOIN(A,B) to JOIN(B,A)

→ Logical-Physical:
JOIN(A,B) to HASH_JOIN(A,B)
Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.

Invoke rules to create new nodes and traverse tree.

→ Logical-Logical:
  JOIN(A,B) to JOIN(B,A)

→ Logical-Physical:
  JOIN(A,B) to HASH JOIN(A,B)

Can create “enforcer” rules that require input to have certain properties.
Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.

Invoke rules to create new nodes and traverse tree.

→ Logical-Logical:
  JOIN(A,B) to JOIN(B,A)

→ Logical-Physical:
  JOIN(A,B) to HASH_JOIN(A,B)

Can create “enforcer” rules that require input to have certain properties.
Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.

Invoke rules to create new nodes and traverse tree.

→ Logical-Logical:
  JOIN(A,B) to JOIN(B,A)

→ Logical-Physical:
  JOIN(A,B) to HASHJOIN(A,B)

Can create “enforcer” rules that require input to have certain properties.
Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.

Invoke rules to create new nodes and traverse tree.

→ Logical-Logical:
JOIN(A,B) to JOIN(B,A)

→ Logical-Physical:
JOIN(A,B) to HASH_JOIN(A,B)

Can create “enforcer” rules that require input to have certain properties.
VOLCANO OPTIMIZER

Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.

Invoke rules to create new nodes and traverse tree.

→ Logical-Logical:
  JOIN(A,B) to JOIN(B,A)

→ Logical-Physical:
  JOIN(A,B) to HASH_JOIN(A,B)

Can create “enforcer” rules that require input to have certain properties.
Start with a logical plan of what we want the query to be.

Invoke rules to create new nodes and traverse tree.

→ Logical-Logical:
  JOIN(A,B) to JOIN(B,A)

→ Logical-Physical:
  JOIN(A,B) to HASH_JOIN(A,B)

Can create “enforcer” rules that require input to have certain properties.
The optimizer needs to enumerate all possible transformations without repeating.
Go from logical to physical plan as fast as possible, then try alternative plans.
→ Use a top-down rules engine that performs branch-and-bound pruning.
→ Use memoization to cache equivalent operators.
CASCADES OPTIMIZER

Object-oriented implementation of the Volcano query optimizer.
Simplistic expression re-writing can be through a direct mapping function rather than an exhaustive search.

Example: SQL Server, Greenplum’s Orca, and Apache Calcite.
QUERY OPTIMIZATION STRATEGIES

Choice #1: Heuristics
→ INGRES, Oracle (until mid 1990s)

Choice #2: Heuristics + Cost-based Join Search
→ System R, early IBM DB2, most open-source DBMSs

Choice #3: Randomized Search
→ Academics in the 1980s, current Postgres

Choice #4: Stratified Search
→ IBM’s STARBURST (late 1980s), current IBM DB2 + Oracle

Choice #5: Unified Search
→ Volcano/Cascades in 1990s, now SQL Server + Greenplum
ADAPTIVE QUERY PROCESSING

INGRES could modify a query plan on a per tuple basis.
→ Each tuple could join with relations in a different order and using a different algorithm.

Adaptive processing removes the distinction between planning and execution phases.
→ But I don’t think any DBMS actually does this...
Query optimization is **hard**.

→ This is why it wasn’t implemented in any of the NoSQL systems.

The research literature suggests that there is no difference in quality between bottom-up vs. top-down search strategies.

All of this hinges on a good cost model. A good cost model needs good statistics.
NEXT CLASS

Cost Models
Working in a large code base