Carnegie Mellon University ADVANCE ATABAS Larger-than-Memory Databases @Andy_Pavlo // 15-721 // Spring 2020 ---

ADMINISTRIVIA

April 22: Final Exam Released

April 29: Guest Speaker (Live)

May 4: Code Review #2 Submission

May 5: Final Presentations (Live)

May 13: Final Exam Due Date

OBSERVATION

DRAM is expensive, son.

- \rightarrow Expensive to buy.
- \rightarrow Expensive to maintain.

It would be nice if our in-memory DBMS could use cheaper storage without having to bring in the entire baggage of a disk-oriented architecture.



TODAY'S AGENDA

Background Implementation Issues Real-world Examples



Allow an in-memory DBMS to store/access data on disk <u>without</u> bringing back all the slow parts of a disk-oriented DBMS.

 \rightarrow Minimize the changes that we make to the DBMS that are required to deal with disk-resident data.

Need to be aware of hardware access methods

- \rightarrow In-memory Storage = Tuple-Oriented
- \rightarrow Disk Storage = Block-Oriented

OLAP

OLAP queries generally access the entire table. Thus, there is not anything about OLAP queries that an in-memory DBMS would handle differently than a disk-oriented DBMS.





15-721 (Spring 2020)

OLTP

OLTP workloads almost always have <u>hot</u> and <u>cold</u> portions of the database.

 \rightarrow We can assume txns will almost always access hot tuples.

The DBMS needs a mechanism to move cold data out to disk and then retrieve it if it is ever needed again.



In-Memory Index





Cold-Data Storage	





In-Memory Index









Evicted Tuple Block





OLTP ISSUES

Run-time Operations

 \rightarrow Cold Data Identification

Eviction Policies

 \rightarrow Timing, Evicted Metadata

Data Retrieval Policies

 \rightarrow Granularity, Retrieval Mechanism, Merging

LARGER-THAN-MEMORY DATA MANAGEMENT ON MODERN STORAGE HARDWARE FOR IN-MEMORY OLTP DATABASE SYSTEMS DAMON 2016



COLD DATA IDENTIFICATION

Choice #1: On-line

- → The DBMS monitors txn access patterns and tracks how often tuples/pages are used.
- \rightarrow Embed the tracking meta-data directly in tuples/pages.

Choice #2: Off-line

- \rightarrow Maintain a tuple access log during txn execution.
- \rightarrow Process in background to compute frequencies.

EVICTION TIMING

Choice #1: Threshold

- \rightarrow The DBMS monitors memory usage and begins evicting tuples when it reaches a threshold.
- \rightarrow The DBMS must manually move data.

Choice #2: On Demand

 \rightarrow The DBMS/OS runs a replacement policy to decide when to evict data to free space for new data that is needed.



Choice #1: Tuple Tombstones

- \rightarrow Leave a marker that points to the on-disk tuple.
- \rightarrow Update indexes to point to the tombstone tuples.

Choice #2: Bloom Filters

- \rightarrow Use approximate data structure for each index.
- \rightarrow Check both index + filter for each query.

Choice #3: DBMS Managed Pages

 \rightarrow DBMS tracks what data is in memory vs. on disk.

Choice #4: OS Virtual Memory

 \rightarrow OS tracks what data is on in memory vs. on disk.

In-Memory Index





In-Memory Table Heap	Cold-Data Storage
<i>Tuple #00</i>	
Tuple #01	
<i>Tuple #02</i>	
Tuple #03	
Tuple #04	

In-Memory Index







In-Memory Table Heap	Cold-Data Storage
Tuple #00	
Tuple #01	
Tuple #02	
<i>Tuple #03</i>	
Tuple #04	

13









DATA RETRIEVAL GRANULARITY

Choice #1: All Tuples in Block

- \rightarrow Merge all the tuples retrieved from a block regardless of whether they are needed.
- \rightarrow More CPU overhead to update indexes.
- \rightarrow Tuples are likely to be evicted again.

Choice #2: Only Tuples Needed

- \rightarrow Only merge the tuples that were accessed by a query back into the in-memory table heap.
- \rightarrow Requires additional bookkeeping to track holes.



MERGING THRESHOLD

Choice #1: Always Merge

 \rightarrow Retrieved tuples are always put into table heap.

Choice #2: Merge Only on Update

- \rightarrow Retrieved tuples are only merged into table heap if they are used in an UPDATE query.
- \rightarrow All other tuples are put in a temporary buffer.

Choice #3: Selective Merge

- \rightarrow Keep track of how often each block is retrieved.
- \rightarrow If a block's access frequency is above some threshold, merge it back into the table heap.



RETRIEVAL MECHANISM

Choice #1: Abort-and-Restart

- \rightarrow Abort the txn that accessed the evicted tuple.
- \rightarrow Retrieve the data from disk and merge it into memory with a separate background thread.
- \rightarrow Restart the txn when the data is ready.
- \rightarrow Requires MVCC to guarantee consistency for large txns that access data that does not fit in memory.

Choice #2: Synchronous Retrieval

 \rightarrow Stall the txn when it accesses an evicted tuple while the DBMS fetches the data and merges it back into memory.



IMPLEMENTATIONS

	H-Store – Anti-Caching
Tuples {	Hekaton – Project Siberia
	EPFL's VoltDB Prototype
	Apache Geode – Overflow Tables
	LeanStore – Hierarchical Buffer Pool
Pages <	Umbra – Variable-length Buffer Pool
	MemSQL – Columnar Tables



H-STORE - ANTI-CACHING

On-line Identification Administrator-defined Threshold Tombstones Abort-and-restart Retrieval Block-level Granularity Always Merge





HEKATON - PROJECT SIBERIA

Off-line Identification Administrator-defined Threshold Bloom Filters Synchronous Retrieval Tuple-level Granularity Always Merge



Off-line Identification

OS Virtual Memory

Synchronous Retrieval

Page-level Granularity

Always Merge

ENABLING EFFICIENT OS PAGING FOR MAIN-MEMORY OLTP DATABASES DAMON 2013































APACHE GEODE - OVERFLOW TABLES

On-line Identification Administrator-defined Threshold Tombstones (?) Synchronous Retrieval Tuple-level Granularity Merge Only on Update (?)

Source: Apache Geode

OBSERVATION

The approaches that we have discussed so far are based on tuples.

- \rightarrow The DBMS must track meta-data about individual tuples.
- \rightarrow Not reducing storage overhead of indexes.

Need a unified way to evict cold data from both tables and indexes with low overhead...



LEANSTORE

Prototype in-memory storage manager from TUM that supports larger-than-memory databases.

- \rightarrow Handles both tuples + indexes
- \rightarrow Not part of the HyPer project.

Hierarchical + Randomized Block Eviction \rightarrow Use pointer swizzling to determine whether a block is evicted or not.



POINTER SWIZZLING

Switch the contents of pointers based on whether the target object resides in memory or on disk. \rightarrow Use first bit in address to tell what kind of address it is. \rightarrow Only works if there is only one pointer to the object.





POINTER SWIZZLING

Switch the contents of pointers based on whether the target object resides in memory or on disk. \rightarrow Use first bit in address to tell what kind of address it is. \rightarrow Only works if there is only one pointer to the object.



REPLACEMENT STRATEGY

Randomly select blocks for eviction.

- → Don't have to maintain meta-data every time a txn accesses a hot block.
- \rightarrow Only track accesses for cold data, which should be rare if it is cold.

Unswizzle their pointer but leave in memory. \rightarrow Add to a FIFO queue of blocks staged for eviction. \rightarrow If page is accessed again, remove from queue.

 \rightarrow Otherwise, evict pages when reaching front of queue.



Blocks are organized in a tree hierarchy.

 \rightarrow Each page has only one parent, which means that there is only a single pointer.

The DBMS can only evict a block if its children are also evicted.

- \rightarrow This avoids the problem of evicting blocks that contain swizzled pointers.
- \rightarrow If a block is selected but it has in-memory children, then it automatically switches to select one of its children.











UMBRA

New DBMS from German HyPer team at TUM.

- \rightarrow Low overhead buffer pool with variable-sized pages.
- → Employs the same hierarchical organization and randomized block eviction algorithm from LeanStore.
- \rightarrow Uses virtual memory to allocate storage but the DBMS manages block eviction on its own.

DBMS stores relations as index-organized tables, so there is no separate management needed to handle index blocks.

UMBRA: A DISK-BASED SYSTEM WITH IN-MEMORY PERFORMANCE CIDR 2020



VARIABLE-SIZED BUFFER POOL



Reserved Virtual Memory

MEMSQL - COLUMNAR TABLES

Administrator manually declares a table as a disk-resident columnar table with zone maps.

- \rightarrow Pre-2017: Used **mmap** but this was a <u>bad idea</u>.
- \rightarrow Pre-2019: DBMS splits columns into 1m tuple segments.
- → Current: Unified <u>single logical table format</u> that combines delta store with column store.

No Evicted Metadata Synchronous Retrieval Always Merge

Source: MemSQL

PARTING THOUGHTS

Today was about working around the blockoriented access and slowness of secondary storage.

Fast and cheap byte-addressable NVM will make this lecture unnecessary.



NEXT CLASS

Server-side Application Logic

