Carnegie Mellon University ADVANCE ATABAS Server-side Logic Execution

D.

@Andy_Pavlo // 15-721 // Spring 2020

TODAY'S AGENDA

Background UDF In-lining UDF CTE Conversion



OBSERVATION

Until now, we have assumed that all of the logic for an application is located in the application itself.

The application has a "conversation" with the DBMS to store/retrieve data. \rightarrow Protocols: JDBC, ODBC



Application

















Move application logic into the DBMS to avoid multiple network round-trips and to extend the functionality of the DBMS.

- Potential Benefits
- \rightarrow Efficiency
- \rightarrow Reuse



Application

BEGIN SQL Program Logic SQL Program Logic COMMIT









User-Defined Functions (UDFs)

Stored Procedures

Triggers User-Defined Types (UDTs) User-Defined Aggregates (UDAs)



USER-DEFINED FUNCTIONS

A **<u>user-defined function</u>** (UDF) is a function written by the application developer that extends the system's functionality beyond its built-in operations.

- \rightarrow It takes in input arguments (scalars)
- \rightarrow Perform some computation
- \rightarrow Return a result (scalars, tables)

UDF EXAMPLE

```
CREATE FUNCTION cust_level(@ckey int)
RETURNS char(10) AS
BEGIN
 DECLARE @total float;
 DECLARE @level char(10);
 SELECT @total = SUM(o_totalprice)
   FROM orders WHERE o_custkey=@ckey;
 IF (@total > 1000000)
  SET @level = 'Platinum';
 ELSE
  SET @level = 'Regular';
 RETURN @level;
END
```

Get all the customer ids and compute their customer service level based on the amount of money they have spent.

UDF ADVANTAGES

→ Different queries can reuse the same application logic without having to reimplement it each time.

Fewer network round-trips between application server and DBMS for complex operations.

Some types of application logic are easier to express and read as UDFs than SQL.



UDF DISADVANTAGES (1)

Query optimizers treat UDFs as black boxes. → Unable to estimate cost if you don't know what a UDF is going to do when you run it.

It is difficult to parallelize UDFs due to correlated queries inside of them.

- \rightarrow Some DBMSs will only execute queries with a single thread if they contain a UDF.
- \rightarrow Some UDFs incrementally construct queries.



UDF DISADVANTAGES (2)

Complex UDFs in **SELECT** / **WHERE** clauses force the DBMS to execute iteratively.

- \rightarrow RBAR = "Row By Agonizing Row"
- \rightarrow Things get even worse if UDF invokes queries due to implicit joins that the optimizer cannot "see".

Since the DBMS executes the commands in the UDF one-by-one, it is unable to perform cross-statement optimizations.



UDF PERFORMANCE

Microsoft SQL Server



Source: Karthik Ramachandra

UDF PERFORMANCE

Microsoft SQL Server



TPC-H Q12 using a UDF (SF=1). \rightarrow Original Query: 0.8 sec \rightarrow Query + UDF: 13 hr 30 min CREATE FUNCTION cust_name(@ckey int)

```
CREATE FUNCTION cust_name(@ckey int)
RETURNS char(25) AS
BEGIN
DECLARE @n char(25);
SELECT @n = c_name
FROM customer WHERE c_custkey = @ckey;
RETURN @n;
END
```

Source: Karthik Ramachandra

MICROSOFT SQL SERVER UDF HISTORY

2001 – Microsoft adds TSQL Scalar UDFs.2008 – People realize that UDFs are "evil".

TSQL Scalar functions are evil.

I've been working with a number of clients recently who all have suffered at the hands of TSQL Scalar functions. Scalar functions were introduced in SQL 2000 as a means to wrap logic so we benefit from code reuse and simplify our queries. Who would be daft enough not to think this was a good idea. I for one jumped on this initially thinking it was a great thing to do.

However as you might have gathered from the title scalar functions aren't the nice friend you may think they are.

If you are running queries across large tables then this may explain why you are getting poor performance.

In this post we will look at a simple padding function, we will be creating large volumes to emphasize the issue with scalar udfs.

```
create function PadLeft(@val varchar(100), @len int, @char char(1))
returns varchar(100)
as
begin
  return right(replicate(@char,@len) + @val, @len)
end
go
```

Interpreted

Scalar functions are interpreted code that means EVERY call to the function results in your code being interpreted. That means overhead for processing your function is proportional to the number of rows.

Running this code you will see that the native system calls take considerable less time than the UDF calls. On my machine it takes 2614 ms for the system calls and 38758ms for the UDF. Thats a 19x increase.

set statistics time on

```
select max(right(replicate('0',100) + o.name + c.name, 100))
from msdb.sys.columns o
cross join msdb.sys.columns c
```

```
select max(dbo.PadLeft(o.name + c.name, 100, '0'))
from msdb.sys.columns o
 cross join msdb.sys.columns c
```

DF HISTORY

DFs. vil".

MICROSOFT SQL SERVER UDF HISTORY

2001 – Microsoft adds TSQL Scalar UDFs.

2008 – People realize that UDFs are "evil".

2010 – Microsoft acknowledges that UDFs are evil.



15-721 (Spring 2020)

MICROSOFT SQL SERVER UDF HISTORY

- 2001 Microsoft adds TSQL Scalar UDFs.
- 2008 People realize that UDFs are "evil".
- 2010 Microsoft acknowledges that UDFs are evil.
- 2014 <u>UDF decorrelation research @ IIT-B.</u>
- 2015 Froid project begins @ MSFT Gray Lab.
- **2018** Froid added to SQL Server 2019.



FROID

Automatically convert UDFs into relational expressions that are inlined as sub-queries. \rightarrow Does not require the app developer to change UDF code.

 Perform conversion during the rewrite phase to avoid having to change the cost-base optimizer.
 → Commercial DBMSs already have powerful transformation rules for executing sub-queries efficiently.

FROID: OPTIMIZATION OF IMPERATIVE PROGRAMS IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE VLDB 2017



SUB-QUERIES

The DBMS treats nested sub-queries in the where clause as functions that take parameters and return a single value or set of values.

Two Approaches:

- \rightarrow Rewrite to de-correlate and/or flatten them
- → Decompose nested query and store result to temporary table. Then the outer joins with the temporary table.



SUB-QUERIES - REWRITE





LATERAL JOIN

A lateral inner subquery can refer to fields in rows of the table reference to determine which rows to return.

 \rightarrow Allows you to have sub-queries in **FROM** clause.

The DBMS iterates through each row in the table referenced and evaluates the inner sub-query for each row.

 \rightarrow The rows returned by the inner sub-query are added to the result of the join with the outer query.



FROID OVERVIEW

Step #1 – Transform Statements
Step #2 – Break UDF into Regions
Step #3 – Merge Expressions
Step #4 – Inline UDF Expression into Query
Step #5 – Run Through Query Optimizer

STEP #1 - TRANSFORM STATEMENTS



```
CREATE FUNCTION cust_level(@ckey int)
RETURNS char(10) AS
BEGIN
 DECLARE @total float;
 DECLARE @level char(10);
 SELECT @total = SUM(o_totalprice)
   FROM orders WHERE o_custkey=@ckey;
 IF (@total > 1000000)
  SET @level = 'Platinum';
 ELSE
  SET @level = 'Regular';
 RETURN @level;
END
```









STEP #3 - MERGE EXPRESSIONS



STEP #4 - INLINE EXPRESSION

Original Query



STEP #4 - INLINE EXPRESSION

Original Query

```
SELECT c_custkey, (
 SELECT E_R3.level FROM
  (SELECT NULL AS level,
   (SELECT SUM(o_totalprice)
      FROM orders
     WHERE o_custkey=@ckey) AS total
  ) AS E_R1
 CROSS APPLY
  (SELECT (
    CASE WHEN E_R1.total > 1000000
    THEN 'Platinum'
    ELSE E_R1.level END) AS level
  ) AS E_R2
 CROSS APPLY
  (SELECT (
    CASE WHEN E R1.total <= 1000000
   THEN 'Regular'
    ELSE E_R2.level END) AS level
  ) AS E_R3;
) FROM customer;
```

STEP #4 - INLINE EXPRESSION



STEP #5 - OPTIMIZE

```
SELECT c_custkey, (
             SELECT E_R3.level FROM
              (SELECT NULL AS level,
               (SELECT SUM(o_totalprice)
                  FROM orders
                 WHERE o_custkey=@ckey) AS total
              ) AS E_R1
             CROSS APPLY
              (SELECT (
                CASE WHEN E_R1.total > 1000000
                THEN 'Platinum'
                ELSE E_R1.level END) AS level
              ) AS E_R2
             CROSS APPLY
              (SELECT (
                CASE WHEN E_R1.total <= 1000000
                THEN 'Regular'
                ELSE E_R2.level END) AS level
              ) AS E_R3;
            ) FROM customer;
15-721 (Spring 2020)
```

STEP #5 - OPTIMIZE



BONUS OPTIMIZATIONS



BONUS OPTIMIZATIONS

BONUS OPTIMIZATIONS

SUPPORTED OPERATIONS (2019)

T-SQL Syntax:

- → **DECLARE**, **SET** (variable declaration, assignment)
- \rightarrow **SELECT** (SQL query, assignment)
- \rightarrow **IF** / **ELSE** / **ELSE IF** (arbitrary nesting)
- \rightarrow **RETURN** (multiple occurrences)
- → EXISTS, NOT EXISTS, ISNULL, IN, ... (Other relational algebra operations)

UDF invocation (nested/recursive with configurable depth)

All SQL datatypes.

APPLICABILITY / COVERAGE

UDF IMPROVEMENT STUDY

UDFs-TO-CTEs

Rewrite UDFs into plain SQL commands.

Use recursive common table expressions (CTEs) to support iterations and other control flow concepts not supported in Froid.

DBMS Agnostic

 \rightarrow Can be implemented as a rewrite middleware layer on top of any DBMS that supports CTEs.

CMU·DB

UDFS-TO-CTES OVERVIEW

Step #1 – <u>Static Single Assignment Form</u>
Step #2 – <u>Administrative Normal Form</u>
Step #3 – Mutual to Direct Recursion
Step #4 – Tail Recursion to WITH RECURSIVE
Step #5 – Run Through Query Optimizer

STEP #1 - STATIC SINGLE ASSIGNMENT

```
CREATE FUNCTION pow(x int, n int)
RETURNS int AS
$$
DECLARE
 i int = 0;
  p int = 1;
 BEGIN
  WHILE i < n LOOP
   p = p * x;
  i = i + 1;
  END LOOP;
  RETURN p;
 END;
$$
```

pow(x,n): $i_{0} \leftarrow 0;$ $p_{0} \leftarrow 0;$ while: $i_1 \leftarrow \Phi(i_0, i_2);$ $p_1 \leftarrow \Phi(p_0, p_2);$ if i₁ < n then goto loop; else goto exit; **<u>loop</u>**: $p_2 \leftarrow p_1 \ast x;$ $i_2 \leftarrow i_1 + 1;$ goto while; **exit**: **return** p₁;

Source: Torsten Grust

STEP #2 - ADMINISTRATIVE NORMAL FORM

<pre>pow(x,n) = let i₀ = 0 in let p₀ = 1 in while(i₀,p₀,x,n)</pre>
<pre>while(i₁,p₁,x,n) = let t₀ = i₁ >= n in if t₀ then p₁ else body(i₁,p₁,x,n)</pre>
body (i_1, p_1, x, n) = let $p_2 = p_1 * x in$ let $i_2 = i_1 + 1 in$ while(i_2, p_2, x, n)

Source: Torsten Grust

STEP #3 - MUTUAL TO DIRECT RECURSION

```
pow(x,n) =
  let i_0 = 0 in
   let p_0 = 1 in
      while(i_0, p_0, x, n)
while(i_1, p_1, x, n) =
  let t_0 = i_1 \ge n in
   if t<sub>0</sub> then p<sub>1</sub>
   else body(i_1, p_1, x, n)
body(i_1, p_1, x, n) =
  let p_2 = p_1 * x in
   let i_2 = i_1 + 1 in
     while(i_2, p_2, x, n)
```

Source: Torsten Grust

```
pow(x,n) =
  let i_0 = 0 in
    let p_0 = 1 in
       run(i_0, p_0, x, n)
run(i_1, p_1, x, n) =
  let t_0 = i_1 >= n in
    if t<sub>o</sub> then p<sub>1</sub>
    else
     let p_2 = p_1 * x in
      let i_2 = i_1 + 1 in
        run(i_2,p_2,x,n)
```

35

STEP #4 - WITH RECURSIVE

```
pow(x,n) =
  let i_0 = 0 in
    let p_0 = 1 in
       run(i_0, p_0, x, n)
|run(i_1, p_1, x, n) =
  let t_0 = i_1 >= n in
    if t<sub>o</sub> then p<sub>1</sub>
    else
     let p_2 = p_1 * x in
       let i_2 = i_1 + 1 in
        run(i_2, p_2, x, n)
```

Source: Torsten Grust

```
WITH RECURSIVE
  run("call?",i1,p1,x,n,result) AS (
     SELECT true, 0, 1, x, n, NULL
   UNION ALL
    SELECT iter.* FROM run, LATERAL (
     SELECT false,0,0,0,0,p1
      WHERE i1 >= n
        UNION ALL
     SELECT true, i1+1, p1*x, x, n, 0
      WHERE i1 < n
    ) AS iter("call?",i1,p1,x,n,result)
    WHERE run."call?"
SELECT * FROM run;
```

STFP #4 - WITH RECURSIVE

STFP #4 - WITH RECURSIVE

PARTING THOUGHTS

This is huge. You rarely get 500x speed up without either switching to a new DBMS or rewriting your application.

Another optimization approach is to compile the UDF into machine code.

 \rightarrow This does <u>not</u> solve the optimizer's cost model problem.

NEXT CLASS

Last Lecture: Databases on New Hardware

